



EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		<i>F</i>	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/035,612	03/05/98	YUZAWA		К	SONYJP-3.0-0
_		Т		EXAMINER	
LERNER DAVID LITTENBERG				HUANG, S	3
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD NJ 07090		•	2711	5	
				DATE MAILED:	10/06/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks



Application No. 09/035,612

Applicant(s)

Yuzawa

Office Action Summary

Examiner Sam Huang Group Art Unit 2711



☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except f in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
	is/are rejected.		
Claim(s)			
☐ Claims	·		
Application Papers			
X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	ng Review, PTO-948.		
★ The drawing(s) filed on Mar 5, 1998 is/are objection.	cted to by the Examiner.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.		
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
	of the priority documents have been		
🛛 received.			
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No.			
\square received in this national stage application from th	e International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
*Certified copies not received:			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)		
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	040		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO- Section 1979 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO- Notice of Draftsperson PTO- Notice of Draftsp	1 48		
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES		

Art Unit: 2711

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

- 2. Figure 7 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: According to applicant's specification, Unit Controller is labeled "10" which is contrary to Figure 1. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 because there are insufficient antecedent basis for the limitations in the claims. By way of example, claim 1 recites the limitation "the" in

Application/Control Number: 09/035,612

Art Unit: 2711

"the received data" and "the stored data". Applicant is required to make the necessary changes to additional limitations in the claims which lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Okayama (US 5,903,606).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 5, 6, Okayama discloses a data reception device comprising: means for extracting required data of the received data to store the required data; means for executing the stored data as a program for unit control; and means for detecting the quality of a reception state, characterized in that a data storing operation is not performed when the reception of data contains error and the device also teaches that data storing is automatically performed when the reception state is without error. (See Fig. 4, cols. 2, 3).

As for claims 3, 7, Okayama further shows a display means for displaying the reception state and designation means for designating whether the data storing operation is performed or not. (Id.).



Application/Control Number: 09/035,612

Art Unit: 2711

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okayama (US 5,903,606) in view of applicant's admitted prior art (Figure 7).

According to applicant's admitted prior art (Fig. 7 and pages 1-6), applicant discloses a "typical digital broadcast system" which transmits image signals, audio signals, or the like by using a communication satellite. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Okayama's error detection and correction device with applicant's digital broadcast system in order to save memory and to provide quality data reception for data sensitive services.

Conclusion

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

Application/Control Number: 09/035,612

Art Unit: 2711

Or:

(703) 308-5399, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Huang whose telephone number is (703) 305-0627. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 8:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile, can be reached on (703) 305-4380. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-5359.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

SH

September 15, 1999

ANDREW I. FAILE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Andrew Fails

GROUP SYOU